

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No.</p> <p>10/517,644</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p> <p>YOKOYAMA ET AL.</p>	
	<p>Examiner</p> <p>MATTHEW J. DANIELS</p>	<p>Art Unit</p> <p>1791</p>	

All Participants:

(1) MATTHEW J. DANIELS.

(2) Carolyn Fischer.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2008

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

19, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 19 was noted to be dependent on canceled Claim 7. Ms. Fischer also noted that Claim 20 should have also been dependent on cancelled Claim 7. It was agreed that Claims 19 and 20 would both be cancelled by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Matthew J. Daniels/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)